

(TWIN Q3) Name MR. Q. DIAZ GATES-NGUYEN
(TWIN Q4) Address MR. N. GATES GARRUCHA-GALLEGO
446 ALTA ROAD 53000 (4B-229)
SAN DIEGO, CA 92158-0114
CDC or ID Number 7775738/T94013

FILED MC-275
JAN 30 2008
RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

U.S. DISTRICT COURT - NORTHERN DISTRICT
450 GOLDEN GATE, Box 36060, S.F., CA 94102
(Court)

E-filing JSW

DIAZ; GALLEGOS; ET AL.
Petitioner

vs.

J.J. FERGUSON; ET AL.
Respondent

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

CV 08

0718

(To be supplied by the Clerk of the Court)

(PR)

INSTRUCTIONS—READ CAREFULLY

- If you are challenging an order of commitment or a criminal conviction and are filing this petition in the Superior Court, you should file it in the county that made the order.
- If you are challenging the conditions of your confinement and are filing this petition in the Superior Court, you should file it in the county in which you are confined.
- Read the entire form *before* answering any questions.
- This petition must be clearly handwritten in ink or typed. You should exercise care to make sure all answers are true and correct. Because the petition includes a verification, the making of a statement that you know is false may result in a conviction for perjury.
- Answer all applicable questions in the proper spaces. If you need additional space, add an extra page and indicate that your answer is "continued on additional page."
- If you are filing this petition in the Superior Court, you need file only the original unless local rules require additional copies. Many courts require more copies.
- If you are filing this petition in the Court of Appeal, file the original and four copies of the petition and, if separately bound, one copy of any supporting documents.
- If you are filing this petition in the California Supreme Court, file the original and ten copies of the petition and, if separately bound, two copies of any supporting documents.
- Notify the Clerk of the Court in writing if you change your address after filing your petition.
- In most cases, the law requires service of a copy of the petition on the district attorney, city attorney, or city prosecutor. See Penal Code section 1475 and Government Code section 72193. You may serve the copy by mail.

Approved by the Judicial Council of California for use under rule 8.380 of the California Rules of Court [as amended effective January 1, 2007]. Subsequent amendments to rule 8.380 may change the number of copies to be furnished to the Supreme Court and Court of Appeal.

This petition concerns:

- ☒ A conviction ☐ Parole
☐ A sentence ☐ Credits
☐ Jail or prison conditions ☐ Prison discipline

☒ Other (specify): DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS HAS ENDANGERED PUBLIC SAFETY

1. Your name: Q. DIAZ GATES-NGUYEN; (TWIN OF N.G GARRUCHA-GALLEGOS) ET AL.
 2. Where are you incarcerated? GEORGE BAILEY DETENTION FACILITY (GBDF)
 3. Why are you in custody? ☒ Criminal Conviction ☐ Civil Commitment
OR CHARGES

Answer subdivisions a. through i. to the best of your ability.

- a. State reason for civil commitment or, if criminal conviction, state nature of offense and enhancements (for example, "robbery with use of a deadly weapon").

FALSE CHARGES OF COMMERCIAL BURGLARY; FRAUD; FORGERY; THEFT, DUE TO MISTAKEN IDENTITY BETWEEN MULTIPLE BIRTHS RAISED APART (MBRA) AND MISCONDUCTS BY J.J. FERGUSON

- b. Penal or other code sections: CPC 459; CPC 532(A); CPC 470(A); CPC 487(A) CHARGES
 c. Name and location of sentencing or committing court: CALIFORNIA SUPERIOR COURT, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; 220 WEST BROADWAY; S.D., CA 92101
 d. Case number: CASES # SCD 194876(Q5) # SCD 182951(Q4) # SCD 165856 (Q4)
 e. Date convicted or committed: IN PROGRESS 2005 FEB 02 2003 MAY 23
 f. Date sentenced: NO CONVICTIONS 2005 FEB 02 2003 MAY 23
 g. Length of sentence: DISMISSED 13 OF 19 CHARGES 2-YEARS/PAROLE SUSPENDED 16 MONTHS/PROBATION
 h. When do you expect to be released? 2008 OR WHEN MISCONDUCTS ARE REVIEWED BY FEDERAL JUDGES
 i. Were you represented by counsel in the trial court? ☒ Yes. ☐ No. If yes, state the attorney's name and address:
SCD 194876 (Q5) # SCD 182951 (Q4) # SCD 165856 (Q4)
MR. DAVID THOMPSON; MR NIMO; MR ARENA MS DANIELA GILZEAN MS SELENA KIM-EPLEY

4. What was the LAST plea you entered? (check one)

☒ Not guilty ☐ Guilty ☐ Nolo Contendere ☐ Other: _____

5. If you pleaded not guilty, what kind of trial did you have?

☐ Jury ☐ Judge without a jury ☐ Submitted on transcript ☒ Awaiting trial

MC-275

6. GROUNDS FOR RELIEF

Ground 1: State briefly the ground on which you base your claim for relief. For example, "the trial court imposed an illegal enhancement." (if you have additional grounds for relief, use a separate page for each ground. State ground 2 on page four. For additional grounds, make copies of page four and number the additional grounds in order.)

CDJR EXTRADITION TEAM CPT. OLIVA COMMITTED TREASON MISCONDUCT BY KIDNAPPING PETITIONER Q5 IN CONTEMPT OF THE COURT OF NM JUDGE MARTIN IN 2007. DA-STP R B WELLBORN SPECIFICALLY TOLD LCPD OFFICERS VIA CELL PHONE THAT THE LOOK-ALIKE BROTHERS OF Q4 IN CA SHOULD NOT BE EXTRADITED. BUT LCPD-1221 J.J FERGUSON CONSPIRED WITH CA-CPT OLIVA TO USE THE DISMISSED WARRANT FOR Q3 IN 2006 TO KIDNAP Q5 IN 2007 RESULTING IN 2007 WILDFIRES THAT WERE PREVENTABLE. (CAUSED BY TERRORISTS-WILDFIRES-ARSONISTS-PERPS OR TWAP ON TARS DATA BY Q5)

a. Supporting facts:

Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law. If you are challenging the legality of your conviction, describe the facts upon which your conviction is based. If necessary, attach additional pages. CAUTION: You must state facts, not conclusions. For example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do and how that affected your trial. Failure to allege sufficient facts will result in the denial of your petition. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.) A rule of thumb to follow is: who did exactly what to violate your rights at what time (when) or place (where). (If available, attach declarations, relevant records, transcripts, or other documents supporting your claim.)

IN 2007 OCT. 10, PETITIONER Q5 (TWIN OF Q4: N.G GARRUCHA-GALLEG0) WAS BOOKED AT SAN DIEGO COUNTY JAIL IN CONTEMPT OF COURT OF JUDGE MARTIN AS WELL AS IN VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS. (CPC 1381/1382) Q5 HAS N3-TARS DATA TO PREVENT TWAP PREVENTABLE WILDFIRES, BUT PROSECUTORS WANT TO CHARGE Q5 FOR A \$1360 DONATION THAT DOESN'T EVEN MEET THE VALUE OF FELONY LARCENY, Q5 HAD HELPED Q3 PROVE IN 6 OF 6 NM CASES THAT Q4 WAS STILL AT CA-ASP WHILE Q3 AND Q5 WERE AT KATRINA FLOODS IN 2005. OVER 10,000 DOCUMENTS WERE REVIEWED BY NM JUDGE (AND-GARCIA) WHO WAS PROCESSED TS-SC1 CLEARANCE, SO SHE SIGNED RELEASE ORDERS FOR THE EXTRADITION AND FUGITIVE CASES. PROOF INCLUDE MILLIONS OF WITNESSES OVER NEWS MEDIA; GPS TRACKING DEVICES; CODICILS AND MEDICAL RECORDS PLUS BODIES OF Q5 FAMILY WHO WERE LOST IN KATRINA'S FLOODS. TARS DATA (THAT HELPED CONVICT JUDGE/MAYOR D. MURPHY) WILL BE USED IN PHASE-3.

IN 2007 OCT. 19, PETITIONER Q5 MOVED FOR A SPEEDY TRIAL AND NO WAIVER OF RIGHTS OR DUE PROCESS SINCE TARS DATA NEEDED TO BE COMPLETED TO PREVENT TWAP WILDFIRES. Q5 ASKED ATTORNEY M. DURQUIC FOR AN O/R BAIL REVIEW BUT SHE WOULD NOT SCHEDULE IT WITHIN THE 2007 OCT. 15 DEADLINE TO PREVENT THE OCT. 21 WILDFIRES. AT THE LATE PRELIMINARY IN 2007 OCT. 30 AS WELL AS IN THE LATE 2007 NOV. 2, DDA F. KHALIL SHOWED, "A CLEAR CASE OF INTENTIONAL PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT" (DURHAM DA DISBARRED FOR CONDUCT, AP: BEARD) FOR CLAIMING AS FACTS CHARGES ALREADY DISMISSED BY CA JUDGE M. WOODS AS WELL AS NM JUDGE S E. CANDO-GARCIA.

b. Supporting cases, rules, or other authority (optional):

(Briefly discuss, or list by name and citation, the cases or other authorities that you think are relevant to your claim. If necessary, attach an extra page.)

NM MAGISTRATE COURT	M14 ER 2005-149 EXTRADITION CASE	- Q3: ORDERED RELEASED	2006 JAN.
NM DISTRICT COURT	D307ER 2006-002 FUGITIVE CASE	- Q3: ORDERED RELEASED	2006 FEB.
NM MAGISTRATE COURT	M14 FR 2006-094 LARCENY CASE	- Q3: ORDERED DISMISSED	2006 MAR.
NM DISTRICT COURT	D307CR 2006-341 LARCENY CASE	- Q3: ORDERED DISMISSED	2006 MAY
NM DISTRICT COURT	D307CR 2006-641 EMBEZZLEMENT CASE	- Q3: ORDERED DISMISSED	2007 MAY
NM MAGISTRATE COURT	M14 ER 2007-076 FUGITIVE CASE	- Q5: ORDERED TO HEARING	2007 JUNE

7. Ground 2 or Ground _____ (if applicable):

MC-275

THE CIVIL RIGHTS OF MULTIPLE-BIRTHS RAISED-MART (MBRA) AND THEIR DUE PROCESS HAVE BEEN VIOLATED RESULTING IN DELAY OF TOP SECRET (TS-SCI) RESEARCH TO STOP TERRORISTS W/OUTRES-ANONISTS PERAS (TWAP). THE RIGHT TO ADA MEDICAL CARE; ACCESS TO COURTS; DUE PROCESS TIME DEADLINES; FREE SPEECH; AND FIRST AMENDMENT MEDIA RIGHTS AS WELL AS FREEDOM FROM CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT HAVE BEEN VIOLATED FOR PETITIONERS Q3 AND Q5 AS DOCUMENTED BY TARS-RAD.

a. Supporting facts:

IN 1970'S TRIPLETS OF QUINTUPLETS LEARN ABOUT EACH OTHER VIA A MBRA RESEARCH TEAM AND SIGNED TS-SCI CONTRACTS FOR LIFE. IN 1980'S Q. DIAZ NGUYEN (Q5) SHARED A 4-YEAR GANNETT SCHOLARSHIP WITH MBRA N. G. GARRUCHA-GALLEGO (Q4) AND N. G. GALLEGO (Q3) AT SDSU AND GOT A DoD COMMISSION VIA POTUS R. REAGAN WITH TS-SCI RESEARCH IN NUCLEAR-CHEM-BIO (NCB) N3-TARS (RAVEN). TRIPLETS THEN MARRIED FEMILES OF GATES FAMILY AND GOT NAME CHANGES. ALL SIGN TS-SCI CONTRACTS TO USE N3-TARS TO HELP IMplode COMMUNIST USSR VIA JUSTICE2000 (J2K) RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (RAD) FOR INTERNET-2 AND 3 (I-NET-3) OR WEB 3.0. IN 1990'S MBRA Q4 GOT A FRACTURED SKULL IN AN ACCIDENT WHICH DIFFERENTIATES HIM FROM Q3 AND Q5 IN ADDITION TO UNIQUE NAMES; DENTAL CHARTS; GPS IMPLANTS AND TS-SCI CODES, BUT Q5 HAS IDENTICAL DNA AND FINGERPRINTS AS TWIN Q4. IN 2001, Q3, Q4, AND Q5 WERE IN N3-TARS RAD FOR DESERT VERSIONS OF I-NET-2 USING TCP/IP Q4 AND I-NET-3 USING N3-BIO-TECH. Q4 WAS DISCONTACTED FOR POTUS R. REAGAN'S ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE (AD) RAD AT SAN DIEGO COUNTY (SDC). IN 2002, Q4 WAS TESTING TARS ON A KIDNAPER AS A CASE STUDY. Q4 GAVE HER A COPY OF A \$700 CONTRACT WHICH HE HAD A TEAM USE AGREEMENT. SHE LEARNED ABOUT THE TARS AND GOT Q4 ARRESTED WHILE HE WAS USING THE CAR. IN 2003, Q5 WAS WITH Q3 AT SCOTT'S RANCH WHEN TWAP MILES HEARD THE TARS WERE LOOING THE TARS WERE US. IN 2004, Q4 WAS TESTING N3-TARS AT CDCR FACILITIES DUE TO LEGAL ADVICE BY ATTORNEYS KIM BROWN AND D. GILZEAN. IN 2005, Q4 GOT ARRESTED FROM ASPEN (Q3 MBRA) Q3 AND Q5 WERE AT NEW CLEMENS (NCL) TESTING TARS WHICH SURVIVED KATRINA FLOODS. YET 2004 PLEA STATE WERE OUT. Q3, Q5 AND IS AMT A SR. BECAUSE Q3 AND Q5 GOT RELOCATED TO NM AND AZ. FERGUSON ARRESTED Q3 AT NM IN NOV 9, THEN STOLE TS-SCI DOCUMENTS AND KEYS. Q5 HAD TO HELP Q3 PROVE TO NM JUDGE SE CAMO-GARCIA THAT Q3 IS NOT Q4 FROM AZ BY PROCESSING HER FOR A TS-SCI CLEARANCE. WHEN SHE REVIEWED OVER 10,000 DOCUMENTS SHE SIGNED A RELEASE ORDER SO Q5 LEFT TO TEST TARS IN IRAQ.

b. Supporting cases, rules, or other authority:

(PEOPLE V. PRINCE, 5 CAL 4TH 291, 235, 2005 120)... NO THE PEOPLE (OR PERHAPS ONLY TWINS OR CLONES) HAVE THE SAME GENETIC FINGERPRINTS, AND SO EVIDENCE OF STATISTICAL PROBABILITIES WILL NO LONGER BE NECESSARY." (50 USC, § 421A) ALSO INTELLIGENCE REFORM AND TERRORISM PREVENTION ACT (IRATPA, 2005-2006) (PEOPLE V. SOLIMANO, 111 P.3D 2005 24 CAL 4TH 30 755)... MUST PROMPTLY CONSIDER A MOTION FOR SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL WHERE COUNSEL IS PENDING, WHEN THE RIGHT TO EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE WOULD BE SUBSTANTIALLY IMPAIRED IF HIS REQUEST WERE IGNORED."

8. Did you appeal from the conviction, sentence, or commitment? ☐ Yes. ☒ No. If yes, give the following information:

a. Name of court ("Court of Appeal" or "Appellate Dept. of Superior Court"):

(N3-TARS DATA NOT AVAILABLE TILL IRATPA FEDERAL STATUTE WAS PASSED.)

b. Result N/A c. Date of decision: N/A

d. Case number or citation of opinion, if known: N/A

e. Issues raised: (1) N/A

(2) N/A

(3) N/A

f. Were you represented by counsel on appeal? ☐ Yes. ☒ No. If yes, state the attorney's name and address, if known:

N/A

9. Did you seek review in the California Supreme Court? ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, give the following information:

a. Result N/A b. Date of decision: _____

c. Case number or citation of opinion, if known: N/A

d. Issues raised: (1) N/A

(2) N/A

(3) N/A

10. If your petition makes a claim regarding your conviction, sentence, or commitment that you or your attorney did not make on appeal, explain why the claim was not made on appeal:

FORMERLY TS-SCI N3-TARS DATA PROVING CONSPIRACY OF FALSE CHARGES NOT AVAILABLE UNTIL THE INTER. REFORM AND TERRORIST PREVENTION ACT (IRATPA) IMPLEMENTED IN 2005-2006. NM JUDGES WHO REVIEWED DATA RULED IN FAVOR OF PETITIONERS.

11. Administrative Review:

a. If your petition concerns conditions of confinement or other claims for which there are administrative remedies, failure to exhaust administrative remedies may result in the denial of your petition, even if it is otherwise meritorious. (See *In re Muszalski* (1975) 52 Cal.App.3d 500 [125 Cal.Rptr. 286].) Explain what administrative review you sought or explain why you did not seek such review:

2007 OCT. 10 WED. ARRAIGNMENT (DEPT II): SENT APPEAL THAT JUDGE GALLAGHER'S COMMENT ON "EVIL TWIN" WAS A VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS SINCE Q4 HELPED CONVICT FORMER "EVIL" JUDGE/MAYOR D. MURPHY USING N3-TARS DATA.

2007 OCT. 15 MON. BATF REVIEW (DEPT II): SENT APPEAL THAT IGNORING OUR BATF REVIEW ENDANGERS PUBLIC SAFETY SINCE Q5 HAS THE N3-TARS DATA TO STOP PREVENTABLE TWAP ATTACKS USING WILDFIRES.

2007 OCT. 19 FRI. FELONY DISP. CON. (DEPT 35): INFORMED ATTORNEY DAVID THOMPSON THAT Q5 HAS CLASSIFIED TARS DATA FOR THE PUBLIC SAFETY OF CA AND Q5 NEEDS TO MEET WITH DHS OFFICIALS WITH SECURITY CLEARANCE. Q5 WAS IGNORED.

2007 OCT. 30 TUE. PRELIMINARY (DEPT 35): IN PREVIOUS HEARINGS ATTORNEY THOMPSON WAS SPECIFICALLY TOLD NOT TO WAIVE ANY SPEEDY TRIAL DEADLINES BUT HE IGNORED THE REQUEST SO TARS DATA COULD NOT BE USED TO STOP WILDFIRES.

b. Did you seek the highest level of administrative review available? ☒ Yes. ☐ No.

Attach documents that show you have exhausted your administrative remedies.

12. Other than direct appeal, have you filed any other petitions, applications, or motions with respect to this conviction, commitment, or issue in any court? ☒ Yes. If yes, continue with number 13. ☐ No. If no, skip to number 15. MC-275

13. a. (1) Name of court: NM MAGISTRATE AND DISTRICT COURT - DOÑA ANA COUNTY (DAC) M14 ER 2005-149 D347ER2006-002
 (2) Nature of proceeding (for example, "habeas corpus petition"): MOTION FOR RELEASE ORDER IN EXTRADITION WARRANT
 (3) Issues raised: (a) PERJURY AND TREASON DUE TO MISCONDUCT BY NM-LCPD-1221 DET J.J. FERGUSON
 (b) MISTAKEN IDENTITY DUE TO LOSS OF IDs AT KATRINA'S FLOODS BY DEFENSE RESEARCHERS Q3 AND Q5
 (4) Result (Attach order or explain why unavailable): ORDER OF RELEASE BY JUDGE S.F. CANDO-GARCIA
 (5) Date of decision: 2006 FEBRUARY FOR Q3 ASSISTED BY Q5 AND NM ATTORNEY K. HENRIE
- b. (1) Name of court: NM MAGISTRATE COURT, 151 CHURCH STREET, LAS CRUCES, NM 88001
 (2) Nature of proceeding: EXTRADITION IDENTITY HEARINGS (M14 ER 2005-149)
 (3) Issues raised: (a) PERJURY; THEFT OF CASH AND KEYS, AND TREASON BY 1221 DET J.J. FERGUSON
 (b) MULTIPLE BIRTHS RAISED: NAME (MBRA) NEED MULTIPLE IDENTIFIERS BEYOND FEATURES AND PRINTS
 (4) Result (Attach order or explain why unavailable): ORDER OF RELEASE DUE TO 60-DAYS LIMIT DEADLINE
 (5) Date of decision: 2005 DECEMBER PROCEEDED 2006 JANUARY FOR Q3 ASSISTED BY Q5

c. For additional prior petitions, applications, or motions, provide the same information on a separate page.

14. If any of the courts listed in number 13 held a hearing, state name of court, date of hearing, nature of hearing, and result:

MULTIPLE HEARINGS AVAILABLE IN CASE FILES LISTED AT ITCM # 66.

15. Explain any delay in the discovery of the claimed grounds for relief and in raising the claims in this petition. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

N3-TARS DATA WAS PHASE-1 TS-SCI UNTIL AFTER 2005 IRATPA IMPLEMENTED SO THE MIGN3 RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (RAD) WAS DECLASSIFIED TO CS-NTK (CONFIDENTIAL SECRET-NEED TO KNOW) PHASE-2

16. Are you presently represented by counsel? ☒ Yes. ☐ No. If yes, state the attorney's name and address, if known:

MR. KEN HENRIE (Q3) MR. DONALD SPECTER (Q4) MR. DAVID THOMPSON (Q5)
505-426-9400 415-457-9144 619-338-4633

17. Do you have any petition, appeal, or other matter pending in any court? ☒ Yes. ☐ No. If yes, explain:

SEVERAL THOUSAND PI/PD/WD LAWSUITS IN PROGRESS ON BEHALF OF 2007 WILDFIRE VICTIMS SINCE DELAY OF Q5 PREVENTED COMPLETION OF TARS DATA TO STOP THE PREVENTABLE CA WILDFIRES.

18. If this petition might lawfully have been made to a lower court, state the circumstances justifying an application to this court:

N3-TARS DATA SHOW AN EXPECTED NCB ATTACK IN SAN FRANCISCO BUT THE CA SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES AND ATTORNEYS WANT TO PURSUE A \$360 DONATION CASE INSTEAD.

I, the undersigned, say: I am the petitioner in this action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing allegations and statements are true and correct, except as to matters that are stated on my information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Date:

Q. Ding Guts Nguyen
 (SIGNATURE OF PETITIONER)

(PAR. A)

A) THREE COMMUNIST WRONGS DOES NOT EQUAL TRUTH, JUSTICE AND THE AMERICAN WAY DEMOCRACY.

(PAR. B)

B) IN 2007 MAY 22, CA-PCSD AGENT S. HERNANDEZ (SH) FILED 1676 CHARGE FORM WITH CHARGE-1 ABSCONDING AND CHARGE-2 FALSE ID FORGERY FOR A T94013 PAL WARRANT. SIMILAR CHARGES, ALREADY DISMISSED BY NM JUDGES, HAVE DELAYED WINNING THE WAR ON TERROR (WOT) VIA 24-HOURS TARS (TRACK AND RECORD SURVEILLANCE). SINCE 2005 NOV. IDENTICAL DNA BROTHERS HAVE PROVED TO NM JUDGES THAT: ① THE WRONG BROTHERS ARE BEING ARRESTED; ② THE BROTHERS' IDs ARE NOT FALSE; AND ③ THE CHARGES AND CONVICTIONS ARE FRAUDULENT DUE TO MISCONDUCT. THE PRIMARY CAUSE IS THAT CDCR AGENCIES HAVE WRONG NAMES IN THEIR C-FILES. CORRECTED COURT DOCUMENTS HAVE NOT UPDATED CDCR PAROLE FILES.

(PAR. C)

C) IN 2006 FEB. AS RULED BY NM JUDGE S.E. CANDO-GARCIA, AN ARREST OR CONVICTION OR REVOCATION MUST NOT BE BASED ON APPEARANCE OR FINGERPRINTS ALONE WHEN IDENTICAL-TWINS-RAISED-APART (ITRA)* ARE INVOLVED. DENTAL CHARTS, MRI, SIGNATURES, SPERM COUNTS, SURGERIES, X-RAYS OR OTHER IDENTIFIERS ARE NEEDED. TWINS RESEARCHER PUBLISHED IN 1996 THAT "IDENTICAL TWINS HAVE SIMILAR BRAIN WAVES, ELECTRO CARDIOGRAMS, FINGERPRINT RIDGE COUNTS..." (DR. J. FERRARI). OVER 10,000 PAGES OF MEDICAL TOP SECRET (TS-SCI) RECORDS WERE REVIEWED BY JUDGE CANDO-GARCIA. SO SHE SIGNED A RELEASE ORDER FOR TWIN Q3 DETAINED AT NM-DACDC JAIL. BUT TARS SYSTEMS BEING TESTED AT BORDER STATES GOT DATA OF AL-FATAH, AL-QAEOA, AL-SADR (A3) MOLES OR SPIES BRIBING ADA-STP RICHARD B. WELLBORN. FIVE CASES WERE REVIEWED BY 6 NM JUDGES ALL RULING IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANTS.

(PAR. D)

D) IN 2005, CDCR COMPILED T94013 LEGAL DOCUMENTS USING NAMES THAT DO NOT MATCH THE CA-DMV FILES OR THE CORRECTED COURT CASE FILES. SO THE T94013 NAMES CLAIMED TO BE THE TRUE NAMES BY CDCR ARE ACTUALLY FALSE. NO PROOF DOCUMENTS SUCH AS ADOPTIONS, BIRTHS, CHURCHES, MARRIAGES, NAME CHANGES, SCHOOLS, ETC. ARE AVAILABLE FOR THE CDCR FILE NAMES. SO NO LOOK-ALIKE ITRA (M3RA)* CAN LEGALLY OBTAIN REPLACEMENT IDs TO MATCH THESE BOGUS CDCR FILE NAMES. THE FRAUD IS THE INTENTIONAL OR UNINTENTIONAL FALSE DATA IN CDCR DOCUMENTS. FALSE ARRESTS BASED ON APPEARANCE AND RECORDED MISCONDUCT BY NM OFFICIALS COMPOUND THE FRAUD TO TREASON.

MIC

CODE	FALSE NAMES OF T94013			FALSE REPORTS	REPORT DATES	REPORT SOURCES
Q4	NOEL	GALLEGO	GARRUCHA	LEGAL STATUS SUMMARY	2005 MAY 17	CA: CDCR-RECORDS
Q5	NOEL		GARRUCHA	BPT 1135 CASE RECORDS	2007 MAY 21	CA: BPT (D. DE LOS SANTOS)
Q5	NOEL	GALLEGO	GARRUCHA	LCPO-L2007-11193 CASE	2007 MAY 22	NM: LCPO (167 J. FERGUSON)
Q5	NOEL	G.	GARRUCHA	CDC 1502B & INSTRUCTION LTR.	2007 MAY 23	CA: PCSD (S. HERNANDEZ)
Q5	NOEL		GARRUCHA	CDC 1676 CHARGE SHEET	2007 MAY 30	CA: PCSD (S. HERNANDEZ)
Q5	N		GARRUCHA	CDC 114D LOCK-UP ORDER	2007 JUNE 6	CA: CDCR (G. SAVAIA)

DUE TO MISCONDUCT, NM WELLBORN AND FERGUSON WILL BE ON 24-HOURS TARS TESTS. OVER 1,000

CLASS ACTIONS FOR WRONGFUL DEATHS OF ALLEGED FORCES SINCE 2006 FEB. ARE BEING FILED. CA OFFICIALS NEED TO NOTE THAT LOOK-ALIKE ITRA ARE INVOLVED; PROCESS CORRECTIONS VIA MEDIA AND COURTS; AND STOP EXECUTING WARRANTS BASED ON APPEARANCE ALONE. THE CORRECTIONS NEED TO BE DONE OUTSIDE OF CUSTODY. SO THE FALSELY ARRESTED TWINS OF Q4 WILL NEED TO HAVE THEIR DOCUMENTS OR IDs RETURNED. Q5 IS NEEDED TO MASS PRODUCE N3-TARS-BUGS TO WIN THE WOT. FROM 2006 FEB., THE DEATHS OF ALLEGED FORCES MAY BE BLAMED ON FRAUD IN THE CDCR C-FILES; CA AND NM AGENT'S PERJURY IN DOCUMENTS; AND 24-HRS. RECORDED N3-TARS-DATA AND M3 MICROBOTS EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCTS.

(PAR. E)

E) IN 2004 JUNE 8, THE T94013 CONTROL-CASE ARREST ON THE FORGERY-CHECK-CASHING ACT IS FALSE DUE TO POWER OF ATTORNEY (POA) AUTHORIZATION. J2K INTERN R.S. PHILLIPS ASKED Q4 VIA POA IN 2004 JAN. TO ACT ON KEEPING ACTIVE HIS CLASSES AT SOCLD AND INTERNSHIP SINCE HE WOULD BE LATE RETURNING FROM WA. AS RESEARCHERS IN TARS SYSTEMS, THE Q- BROTHERS HAVE AGREED TO HAVE ALL EVENTS IN THEIR LIVES RECORDED IN J2K PROJECTS. THE GOAL OF J2K IS FOR SIMULTANEOUS TARS OF MILLIONS OF SUBJECTS IN AREAS THE SIZE OF AFGHANISTAN, IRAN, IRAQ, SAUDI ARABIA, ETC. FOR LOW LEVEL RESEARCHERS LIKE NM FERGUSON OR MYERS TO BE COMPETENT IN TARS SYSTEMS THEY MUST LEARN HOW RESEARCH COMPANIES LIKE CA SCIENCE APPLICATION INTERNATIONAL CORP (SAIC) OR 2003 CA REGISTERED MICROSOFT INVESTMENT CLUBS (MIC) OPERATES. MIC IS ON HOLD-SUSPENSION AT CA TO MOVE MIC-HQ TO ANOTHER STATE FOR THE N3-TARS IPO.

REPORT ID: TS3030

REPORT DATE: 08/01/07

PAGE NO: 1

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
 R.J.DONOVAN CORR. FACILITY
 INMATE TRUST ACCOUNTING SYSTEM
 INMATE TRUST ACCOUNT STATEMENT

FOR THE PERIOD: JUL. 01, 2007 THRU AUG. 01, 2007

ACCOUNT NUMBER : T94013 BED/CELL NUMBER: F20900000000306U
 ACCOUNT NAME : GARRUCHA, NOEL GALLEG0 ACCOUNT TYPE: I
 PRIVILEGE GROUP: U

TRUST ACCOUNT ACTIVITY

TRAN

DATE	CODE	DESCRIPTION	COMMENT	CHECK NUM	DEPOSITS	WITHDRAWALS	BALANCE
07/01/2007		BEGINNING BALANCE					0.00
07/17	DD30	CASH DEPOSIT	0270/HU		2.77		2.77
07/26	W516	LEGAL COPY CH	0451/JUL07			0.40	2.37

* RESTITUTION ACCOUNT ACTIVITY

DATE SENTENCED: 05/23/03 CASE NUMBER: SCD165856
 COUNTY CODE: SD FINE AMOUNT: \$ 800.00

DATE	TRANS.	DESCRIPTION	TRANS. AMT.	BALANCE
07/01/2007		BEGINNING BALANCE		798.60
07/17/07	DR30	REST DED-CASH DEPOSIT	3.07-	795.53

* THIS STATEMENT DOES NOT REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE FEE CHARGE THAT *
 * IS EQUAL TO TEN PERCENT OF THE RESTITUTION AMOUNT COLLECTED. *

TRUST ACCOUNT SUMMARY

BEGINNING BALANCE	TOTAL DEPOSITS	TOTAL WITHDRAWALS	CURRENT BALANCE	HOLDS BALANCE	TRANSACTIONS TO BE POSTED
0.00	2.77	0.40	2.37	0.00	0.00

CURRENT
 AVAILABLE
 BALANCE

2.37

**SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
DETENTION FACILITY SERVICES**

IT IS IMPORTANT for the person receiving this letter to read the opposite side. **ES IMPORTANTE** para la persona que reciba ésta carta lea el reverso de ésta página.

Inmate's Name: Nombre del Encarcelado:	Booking No.: Número:	Facility: Cárcel:	Housing Unit: Tanque:
Q. DIAZ GATES-NGUYEN (Q5) N. G. GARRUCHA-GALLEG0 (Q4)	7775738	GBDF	4B-229

TO: CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

Box 36060

S.F., CA 94102-9680

RE: N3-TARS DATA ON NCB ATTACK IN S.F.

GREETINGS!

① THE INCOMPETENT ADA IN S.D. COUNTY IS CLAIMING A \$360 DONATION IS WORTH A SERIES OF FELONY CHARGES. SHE FEELS THAT IS MORE IMPORTANT THAN OUR N3-TARS DATA FROM OUR RESEARCH TO STOP THE WIDOW FIRE ATTACKS OR THE NCB PLANS TO ATTACK SAN FRANCISCO.

② PLEASE SEND THE MOTION FOR TFP FOR THE ENCLOSED WRIT.

SEND TO:

Q. DIAZ GATES-NGUYEN

ATTN: N. GARRUCHA LEGAL MAIL 7775738

446 ALTA ROAD 4B-229

S.D., CA 92158-0114

ENCLOSED IS

FREE AIDS TEST, NO NAMES TAKEN, NO APPOINTMENT NEEDED. Telephone 236-2264.

1700 Pacific Highway, Monday through Friday 8:00 to 11:30 and 1:00 to 4:00.

PRUEBA DEL SIDA GRATIS, NO NECESITA HACER CITA, NO SE TOMARAN SUS NOMBRES.

1700 Pacific Highway. Lunes a Viernes. 8:00-11:30, y 1:00-4:00. Tel. 236-226

OVER / VEA AL REVERSO